

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 \Alcandria, Virginia 22313-1450 \www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/100,189	06/18/1998	GEOFFREY D. NUNBERG	D/95465	8287
7590 08/10/2004			EXAMINER	NER
Oliff & Berridge PLC			EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR	
PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Michandila, VI	1 22320		2654	13
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/100,189	NUNBERG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patrick N. Edouard	2654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, to reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirly period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>8/14/00,1/26/01</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the app	olication.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · · ·				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	,				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
,—) All b) Some * c) None of:	oroign priority andor oo o.o.o.	3 1 10(2) (3) 31 (1).			
۵,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	application from the International	•				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action fo		received.			
						
Attachmei		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/7/01</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/100,189

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to communication filed 8/14/00 and 1/26/01 9p (paper #8 and 10). Claims 1-27 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Because of the following reasons:

In response to Applicant's argument that Martino is relating to identifying a language shift while the claimed are directed to identifying a text genre. The examiner respectfully disagreed. Martino teaches a method of determining the language or genre or a computer recorded document. In fact, Martino et al discloses at col. 3, lines 5-21, the term "language" as used tin the claims also applies to "genres" within a natural language. Therefore, his method of identifying the "language" is equivalent to the method identifying the "genre".

In response to Applicant's argument that Biber does not disclose a process for identifying a document type, the examiner cannot concur. In fact, the Background of the invention on page 4, lines 12 to page 5, line 15 in particular the "Multidimensional Approach To linguistic..." disclose a method for identifying genre variation.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Biber's tags as cues within the Martino system with the motivation of performing stylistic investigations applicable across many text ant text types (Biber; page 331, first two paragraphs after section 1, introduction)

Application/Control Number: 09/100,189

Art Unit: 2654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 13-14 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino et al (5,913,185) as set forth in prior Office Action mailed 3/13/00
- 5. Claims 4-5, 7-12, 15-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino et al (5,913,185) as applied to claims 1-3 above and further in view of Biber ("The Multi-dimensional Approach to Linguistic Analyses of Genre Variation" An overview of Methodology and Finding". As set forth in prior Office mailed 3/13/00
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/100,189

Art Unit: 2654

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is 7033086725. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on ***. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick N. Edouard

August 3, 2004

PATRICK N. EDOUARD